

Humiliation, Conflict and Public Policy

© Hroar Klempe
Department of Psychology, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway

Abstract of a paper prepared for the "Workshop on Humiliation and Violent Conflict,"
November 18-19, 2004 at Columbia University, NY

Abstract

There are not many systematised discussions on different understandings of the term 'culture'. One of the few is made by the sociolinguist Ben Rampton (unpublished) in a study, which primarily dwells with different perspectives on linguistic diversity in the society. This study ends up with an illuminating table which accounts for four different understandings:

1. Culture as elite canon/standard
2. Culture as sets of values, beliefs and behaviours
3. Culture as reflection of socio-economic relations
4. Culture as the processes and resources involved in situated, dialogical sense-making.

In psychology, one may operate with similar groups of definitions in the sense that culture may be defined in terms of artefacts (Cole 1998), values (Hofstede 1980), socio-economy (Douglas & Wildavsky 1983) and dialogical sense-making (Billig 1997). It is an open question which definition may be the most fruitful when it comes to get a proper understanding of the term 'conflict'.

In my presentation, I will give some arguments for that semiology and dialogical sense-making may represent a fruitful point of departure. By analysing how symbols and understandings were construed during the first minutes after the 9.11-attack, it will be demonstrated how the understanding gradually changed from regarding the event as an accident from the very beginning, to a symbol of deep conflict afterwards.