

The Peacefulness of Chinese Teenagers

By Liu Bangchun, Dr. Psychol. Equipment Academy of PLA, China.

20 Yingbin Beilu, Huairou District, Beijing, China. 101406

Email: psyliu@yahoo.com.cn

Joseph deRivera, Dr. Psychl, Professor,

Psychology Department, Clark University, Worcester, MA.

Abstract

Teenager's peace tendency is one of the key factors for the peaceful world as they are the main part of peacemakers in the future. But there is little research on the extent to which Chinese teenagers endorse peacefulness. This paper reports the peacefulness of Chinese teenagers in a high school, where students come from two different areas. a new Chinese TNT scale is completed based on Mayton's TNT. The result shows that Chinese teenagers are more peaceful than American teenagers. And the differences of peacefulness differences are also discussed.

Key words

Peacefulness, Nonviolence, Chinese Teenagers

1 Introduction.....	2
2 Theoretical background.....	2
3 Method	4
3.1 Participants.....	4
3.2 Procedure	4
4 Results.....	5
4.1 Reliability of TNT.....	5
4.2 Mean scores by gender.....	6
4.3 Comparison on intercorrelations among subscale.....	6

4.4 Comparison on mean scores in TNT subscales.....	7
4.5 Correlation among three items on NST	7
5 Discussion	8
References.....	10
Appendix.....	11

1 Introduction

In China many people agree with Confucius philosophy that man is born to be kind and peaceful. Many teachers ask teenagers to read *Lunyu* by Confucius (Zhang Xunan, 2009) and use this reading to improve the moral level of the students. Most students appear to benefit such reading and the ideas about how to achieve peace tendency (Yu Jiangshan, 2011). However, there is little research on the extent to which Chinese teenagers endorse peacefulness. This article is organized in four parts. The first part is the theoretical background of teenager’s peacefulness test. The second part addresses the method used in testing the peacefulness of Chinese teenagers. The third part reports the result of the peacefulness of Chinese teenager test. The fourth part discusses the result of this peacefulness of Chinese teenager’s measurement.

2 Theoretical background

In the United States, Mayton (2002) has developed a scale to test American teenagers’ “nonviolent tendency” and use it to report on the extent to which American teenagers appear to endorse peacefulness. The aim of this project is to see if the scale can be successfully translated into Chinese so it can be used to ascertain the peaceful tendency of Chinese teenagers. A successful translation would allow us to examine peacefulness in Chinese teenagers and compare this with peaceful tendencies in American teenagers.

The items used on the TNT are based on the concept of pacifism of Elliot(1980), the writings on nonviolence of Kool (1990) and to some extent, on the philosophy of Mohandas Gandhi(1951,1957/1921) which concentrated on the concepts of ahimsa(nonviolence), satyagraha (search for wisdom and truth), and tapasya (willingness to accept suffering). The

TNT contains 55 Likert items divided into 6 subscales. The first, labeled “physical nonviolence”, uses 16 items to measure the conscious rejection of behavior or the threat of behaviors intended to inflict bodily injury on another person in an attempt to coerce, curtail, or eliminate their behavior. The second, labeled “psychological nonviolence”, used 16 items to measure the conscious rejection of behaviors which attempt to coerce by humiliation, intimidation, or other ways that demean the human dignity of another person or group. The other scales (with Gandhi in mind) attempt to measure “active value orientation” (the willingness to perform behaviors designed to achieve a situation commensurate with one’s own norms, values and goals), “empathy/helping” (willingness to assist others with minor levels of need), “*satyagraha*” (active search for wisdom and the willingness to change one’s own conception of truth), “*tapasya*” (the willingness to endure hardship or suffering rather than to inflict harm on others).

Mayton (2009) reports high internal consistency for five of the six subscales. He assessed the concurrent validity of the TNT by comparing its results with three self-rating tests in three separate samples. The measure correlates high with the 65-item NVT by Kool and Sen (1984), the Aggression Questionnaire (AQ) developed by Buss and Perry (1992), and student self-rating of their aggressive tendencies (Mayton, 2009). Further, the physical and psychological nonviolence scales correlate $-.33$ and $-.38$ with teacher ratings of aggression as measured on the Teacher Rating Form (BAMED) (Baker, Mednick, & Hocevar, 1991). Validity was also tested by comparing small groups of American adolescents. The results show that a Buddhist youth group had higher scores on the physical and psychological nonviolence than public school samples which, in turn, had higher scores than the troubled youth and adolescents in a juvenile detention facility (Mayton, 2009).

Though Mayton’s TNT is a good test to pacifist, he fails to test the gender scores on each item. In addition, unfortunately, none of Mayton’s items attempts to assess the adolescence’s tendency to take actions to suffer in order to struggle against the structural violence that was adhered by Gandhi and Martin Luther King. Luckily, in her *Peace Book*, Diamond (2001) developed a Nonviolence Self-Test (NST) and there are three items on the willingness to take actions for struggling against structural violence.

We wonder if it is possible to translate the TNT items into Mandarin in a way that would achieve reliable scales. We wished to ascertain if a Chinese sample would evidence more or less

peacefulness than Mayton's American sample. We also hope to see the correlation among the "willingness to take actions" of NST and the subscales of TNT in Chinese context.

3 Method

3.1 Participants

The sample for this study included 313 adolescents from Huairou District, Beijing (51.44%) and Xinjiang Province (48.56%) who were attending a public Senior High school in Huairou District, Beijing. In the example there was an approximate equal number of males (43.74%) and females (56.23%). The respondents were made up by 10th graders (49.20%), 11th graders (30.35%) and 12 graders (20.44%). The respondents who were predominantly non-religious range from ages of 16-19 with a mean age of 16.9 and a standard deviation of 0.92.

3.2 Procedure

This survey was conducted in early May of 2010. First the principal in the school was contacted and granted for permission, then 9 teachers were assigned by the principal to be familiar with instructions and process of operating the questionnaires the day before the survey was done, and questions from the teachers were answered by the sincere author. Then the teachers administered the TNT to their classes who answered anonymously during class time. And all the students were highly motivated the questionnaire, which was new for them. The TNT questionnaire took 25-35 minutes to finish. After completing the questionnaire, each participant was given a notebook as a reward.

The TNT items were rated from *definitely true for me* to *definitely not true for me* to the participant. The most nonviolent response on a TNT item was decoded as 4. The next most nonviolent response was coded as 3, the following most nonviolent response was coded as 2, and the least nonviolent response was coded as 1 (Mayton, 2002) for analysis purposes. Subscale scores first were computed by summing the scores for each item in the subscale and then dividing by the number of items in the subscale. Therefore, scores above 2.5 (=62.5%) are indicative of nonviolent tendencies and those below 2.5 are indicative of more violent tendencies.

4 Results

4.1 Reliability of TNT

The Chinese version of each subscale was examined. In order to achieve a high internal consistency, it was necessary to delete some items. These will be discussed in the discussion section. Chinese TNT Alpha coefficients were computed for each subscale for the entire sample and are presented in Table 1. The TNT appears to have high internal consistency for two of the six subscales with alpha coefficients of 0.83 on the psychological nonviolence subscale and 0.79 on the physical nonviolence subscale. Three of the subscales are only moderately consistent ($\alpha=0.65$ on “satyagraha” subscale, $\alpha=0.63$ on “helping/empathy” subscale, $\alpha=0.60$ on “tapasya” subscale). The “active value orientation” subscale failed to demonstrate an adequate level ($\alpha=0.37$). The same patterns were found when male and female data was analyzed separately.

Table 1 Internal consistency of the TNT

TNT subscales	alpha coefficients	
	American (Mayton, 2009)	Chinese
Physical nonviolence	0.90	0.79
Psychological nonviolence	0.86	0.83
Active value orientation	0.32	0.37
Helping/empathy	0.80	0.63
Satyagraha	0.77	0.65
Tapasya	0.78	0.60

4.2 Mean scores by gender

In Chinese context, means scores for males and females were also completed for each subscale. And the differences were evaluated means a...., the result was presented in table 2. There was significant difference between males and females on the psychological nonviolence subscale. It seems that female participants are more peaceful than male participants.

Table 2 Mean scores by gender

Subscale	male		female		t-test
	M	SD	M	SD	t
Physical nonviolence	3.03	0.50	3.09	0.44	-1.18
Psychological nonviolence	3.02	0.50	3.18	0.50	-2.81*
Active value orientation	3.03	0.50	3.10	0.44	-1.18
Helping/empathy	3.35	0.58	3.34	0.58	0.19
Satyagraha	3.15	0.57	3.26	0.54	-1.62
Tapasya	2.95	0.80	2.98	0.71	-0.37

*P<0.05 significant, n=313

4.3 Comparison on intercorrelations among subscale

Two of six the subscales of the TNT are significantly interrelated. The correlation coefficient between physical nonviolence subscale and psychological nonviolence subscale for senior high age adolescents (16-19) are presented in Table3. The Pearson correlation among the two subscales for American samples is 0.86(N=376), significant at .001 level, while the Pearson correlation among Chinese samples is 0.56(N=313), significant at .001 level.

4.4 Comparison on mean scores in TNT subscales

A comparison of the means for each item in each subscale for the entire American and Chinese samples are presented in the appendix (see Appendix1).

4.5 Correlation among three items on NST

Though Mayton's TNT is a good test to pacifist, he failed to test the indolence's tendency of taking actions to suffer to struggle against injustice. In *the peace book* (Louise Diamond, 2001), Louise Diamond developed a Nonviolence self- test (NST), but she hasn't showed any data to prove how the items correlate. The correlation among the three items (item8-10), the ones on testing the "willingness to take actions to suffer" are analyzed (see table 3). The result shows that the three items are highly correlated at a significant level. It is reasonable to create the three items into a new subscale as "willingness to take actions to suffer against structural violence" and the reliability of it is 0.70. The correlation among "willingness to take actions" to suffer against structural violence and six subscales of TNT is also at significant level (see table 4).

Table 3 Correlation among item 8,9,10 in subscale "willingness to take action"

	NST 9	NST 10
NST 8	0.41**	0.37**
NST9		0.55**

Note: n=313, ** Pearson correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Table 4 correlation among "willingness to take actions" and six subscales

	phy-nonviolence	psy-nonviolence	active value	helping/empathy	satyagraha	tapasya
Willing to take action	-0.16 **	-0.06	-0.08	-0.12 **	-0.12**	-0.10**

Note: n=313, ** Pearson correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed)

5 Discussion

By comparing the reliability between American and Chinese subscales, it appears that the Chinese TNT subscales have lower reliability than the American subscales among senior high school teenagers. But two of the six subscales of the TNT (physical nonviolence subscale and psychological nonviolence subscale) appear to be promising instruments both in American and Chinese context as well. In addition, the active value orientation subscale appears to be an independent construct (Mayton, 2002) in the two different contexts as well.

It is interesting to examine why a number of items needed to be deleted in order to formulate reliable subscales. In a few cases, examination revealed poor translation (item 12, 18), however, in a number of cases, the items were no suitable in the Chinese cultural context. In subscale1 “physical nonviolence”, for example, item 28 “If someone pushed me in the hall, I would just keep walking”, and item 36 “If someone cuts in front me in the cafeteria, I shove them out of line” are about the teenagers’ nonviolence tendency on lining. There are some cultural differences in the two states on this perspective. In America, it is a custom and good manners to wait in line and it is a shame to shove or cut in line (Yu Dabo, 2007). However, the China has a larger population and it is often more crowded in public places such as halls and cafeterias. Being pushed by others is common and people often take it for granted and sometime they have to be tolerant at others’ cutting in line because of limited public service (Ouyang Haiyan, 2007). The intention of item 24 “Violence on television bothers me”, And there are still some other uncertain reasons that need to explore in the future research.

The result on the comparison on mean scores by gender among Chinese samples shows that there is no significant difference on five of six subscales. However, the significant difference on the psychological nonviolence subscale shows that females have higher nonviolence tendency than males. This result correlates the research that females are expected to behave at a higher nonviolent level in Chinese cultural context (Fang Cong-hui, 2007).

By comparing the Interco relations among the TNT subscales, it appears that in American context most of the subscales of the TNT are significantly interrelated among junior high age adolescents (12-15 years old) (Mayton, 2002.). However, in Chinese context, only the physical nonviolence subscale and psychological subscale are significantly interrelated and the interrelation is less than the American interrelation, which has weaker interrelation than the American result.

By computing the mean scores of each subscale among Chinese teenagers, it is clear that female teenagers are more peaceful than the male teenagers. By comparing the mean, standard deviation and p value on each item in every subscale among the American teenagers and Chinese teenagers, it seems that Chinese teenagers are more peaceful than American teenagers on most items in every subscale and there are only a few items show that there is no significant difference among the teenagers in the two states.

But it is too early to come to the conclusion that Chinese teenagers are more peaceful than American teenagers. After all, there exist some limitations for this study. First the samples are from one school and the number of samples is not big enough. Second, test-retest reliability, concurrent coefficients and group validity among different groups of adolescents haven't been done yet in Chinese context. Third, the concurrent validity of the TNT hasn't been assessed with other scales at all. Fourth, it is not suitable to put every item of the American TNT into use directly in Chinese context.

The five negative correlations among the "willing to take actions" and 6 subscales of TNT in Chinese context appear to show that Chinese teenagers peacefulness at different aspects. The Chinese teenagers who are at higher level on the intra or interpersonal perspective have higher tendency to be willing to take actions to struggle against injustice system and other structural violence.

There are still several necessary tasks for the future research on TNT in Chinese context. First it is needed to determine the reliability of the TNT with bigger number of samples among younger teenagers in junior high schools, young men in colleges in different grades both in cities and rural areas. Second, the test-retest reliability, co efficiency and group validity among different groups of adolescents are needed to be done among volunteered samples of teenagers. Third, the concurrent validity of the TNT will be assessed with different scales, for example, the comparison of TNT and the Nonviolent Relationship Questionnaire (NVRQ, Eckstein & La Grassa, 2005), personality scales (Hasan and Khan, 1983), and Multidimensional Scales of Nonviolence (MSN, Johnson et al., 1998) across separate samples. Fourth, the degree of peace culture (de Rivera, J. H. , Kurrien , R. , & Olsen , N., 2007, de Rivera , J. H. , & Páez , D., 2007) and the emotion climate(de Rivera, J. H., 2004a,b,c) need to be put into consideration when the TNT data is being analyzed and compared in a cross cultural background. The last but not the

least important, it is much needed to design more Chinese items in each subscale and more dimensions of Chinese definition on nonviolence personality should be considered in the future. Anyway, this study shows a good start for TNT in Chinese context.

References

- Baker, R. L., Mednick, B. R., & Hocevar, D. (1991). Utility of scales derived from teacher judgements of adolescent academic performance and psychosocial behavior. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 51, 271 – 286.
- Buss, A. H., & Perry, M. (1992). The Aggression Questionnaire. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63 (3), 452 – 459.
- de Rivera, J. H., Kurrien, R., & Olsen, N. (2007). The emotional climate of nations and their culture of peace. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63 (2), 255 – 271.
- de Rivera, J. H., & Páez, D. (2007). Emotional climate, human security, and cultures of peace. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63 (2), 233 – 253.
- de Rivera, J. H. (2004a). Assessing cultures of peace. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 10 (2), 95 – 100.
- de Rivera, J. H. (2004b). A template for assessing cultures of peace. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 10 (2), 125 – 146.
- de Rivera, J. H. (2004c). Assessing culture of peace in contemporary societies. *Journal of Peace Research*, 41 (5), 531 – 548.
- Diamond, L. (2001). *The peace book: 108 simple ways to create a more peaceful world*. Newburyport, MA: Conari Press.
- Eckstein, D., & La Grassa, L. (2005). *The non-violent relationship questionnaire (NVRQ)*. *The Family Journal: Counseling and Therapy for Couples and Families*, 13 (2), 205 – 211.
- Elliott, G. C. (1980). Components of pacifism. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 24, 27 – 54.
- Fang Cong-hui (2007). The Progress of Implicit Offensive Study. *Journal of Sichuan Vocational and Technical College*. 17(3).73-74.
- Gandhi, M. K. (1951). *Non-violent resistance*. New York: Schocken Books.
- Gandhi, K. (1957/1921). *An autobiography: The story of my experiments with truth*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.

- Hasan, Q., & Khan, S. R. (1983). Dimensions of Gandhian (nonviolent) personality. *Journal of Psychological Researches*, 2, 100 – 106.
- Kool, V. K. (Ed.). (1990). *Perspectives on nonviolence*. New York: Springer.
- Kool, V. K. & Sen, M. (1984). The nonviolence test. In D. M. Pestonjee (Ed.) *Second handbook of psychological and social instruments*. Ahmedabad: Indian Institute of Management.
- Liu Xia, Zhang Yuebing, Cheng Yuntang (2010). Analysis on campus violence and relevant factors among college students. *Journal of Jining Medical University*. 14(3). 76-79.
- Mayton, D. M. (2009). *Nonviolence and Peace Psychology: Intrapersonal, Interpersonal, Societal, and World Peace*. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC.
- Mayton, D. M., Silvia Susnjic, B. James Palmer, Danya J. Peters, Richard Gierth, Rosalie N. Caswell. (2002). The Measurement of Nonviolence: A Review. *PEACE AND CONFLICT: JOURNAL OF PEACE PSYCHOLOGY*, 2(3), 245-253.
- Mayton, D. M., Richel, T. W., Susnjic, S., & Majdanac, M. (2002, August). *Measuring the nonviolent tendencies of college students*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, Chicago, USA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 468 267.)
- Ouyang Haiyan.(2007). The prohibiting elements for Lining. *New Century Weekly*. 89(18).75-76.
- Pelton, L. H. (1974). *The psychology of nonviolence*. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Yu Dabo.(2007). American lining Culture. *Journal of Party Life in Beijing*. 63(04).66-67.
- Yu Jiangshan.(2011). The Outlet to Traditional Education. *Chinese Education*.4:7.
- Zhang Xunan.(2009). From Tradition Study Issue to Tradition Education. *New Curriculum*. 2:81-82.

Appendix

Appendix 1 Physical nonviolence subscale (Alpha=0.79)

Item	Amer		Chi		P
	M	SD	M	SD	P

TNT17 Everyone has the right to injure another to protect their property *	2.66	1.02	3.00	1.93	<.01
TNT 26 I won't fight if people call me names.	2.62	1.04	2.86	0.88	<.01
TNT 31 I have been known to pick fights. *	3.24	0.88	3.34	0.88	<.02
TNT 40 If someone pushes me, I push them back.*	2.26	0.94	2.95	0.87	<.01
TNT 41 I sometimes bring weapons to school. *	3.82	0.53	3.58	0.82	<.01
TNT 43 It is ok to carry weapons on the street. *	3.32	0.90	3.54	0.78	<.01
TNT 44 If someone spit on me, I would hit them. *	2.13	1.03	2.53	1.04	<.01
TNT 46 I don't like to watch people fight.	2.21	1.05	2.93	1.0	<.01
TNT 47 It is often necessary to use violence to prevent violence. *	2.78	0.96	2.59	0.93	<.01
TNT 53 A good way to get me to fight is to tease me.	2.96	0.93	2.94	0.95	NS

Note:

(1)* not true indicates nonviolent response

(2) the following items from Mayton's scale had to be deleted in Chinese version.

TNT 5 If someone insulted me in front of my friends, I would smack them.

TNT 12 I don't get mad, I get even*.

TNT18 If someone got in my face, I'd push them away*.

TNT 24 Violence on television bothers me.

TNT 28 If someone pushed me in the hall, I would just keep walking.

TNT 36 If someone cuts in front of me in the cafeteria, I shove them out of line.*

Appendix 2 Psychological nonviolence subscale (Alpha=0.83)

	Amer		Chi		P
Item	M	SD	M	SD	P

TNT3 When someone is rude to me, I am rude back. *	2.24	0.82	2.66	0.95	<.01
TNT 6 Yelling at someone makes them understand me. *	3.04	0.76	2.62	0.91	<.01

Appendix 3 Active value orientation subscale (Alpha=0.37)

Item	Amer		Chi		P
	M	SD	M	SD	P
TNT17 Everyone has the right to injure another to protect their property *	2.66	1.02	3.00	1.93	<.01

item	American		Chinese		P value
	Mean	Stan. Deviation	Mean	Stan. Deviation	P
TNT 4	3.13	0.96	3.47	0.68	<.01
TNT 30	3.19	0.58	3.22	0.78	NS

Appendix 4 Helping/empathy (Alpha=0.63)

Item	Amer		Chi		P
	M	SD	M	SD	P
TNT17 Everyone has the right to injure another to protect their property *	2.66	1.02	3.00	1.93	<.01

item	American		Chinese		P value
	Mean	Stan. Deviation	Mean	Stan. Deviation	P
TNT 11	3.01	1.13	3.20	0.72	<.01
TNT 13	3.07	0.97	3.25	0.79	<.01
TNT 16	2.57	0.87	3.19	0.88	<.01
TNT 32	2.25	0.94	3.20	0.83	<.01

Appendix 5 Satyagraha subscale (Alpha=0.65)

Item	Amer		Chi		P
	M	SD	M	SD	P

TNT17 Everyone has the right to injure another to protect their property * 2.66 1.02 3.00 1.93 <.01

item	American		Chinese		P value
	Mean	Stan. Deviation	Mean	Stan. Deviation	P
TNT 10	3.23	0.68	3.52	0.68	<.01
TNT 15	3.06	0.72	3.12	0.74	NS
TNT 23	2.42	0.79	2.63	0.85	<.01
TNT 27	3.22	0.67	3.11	0.86	<.01
TNT 33	3.10	0.77	3.04	0.76	NS
TNT 35	2.91	0.86	3.05	0.99	NS
TNT 52	2.50	0.85	2.97	0.86	<.01

Appendix 6 Tapasya subscale (Alpha=0.6)

Item	Amer		Chi		P
	M	SD	M	SD	P
TNT17 Everyone has the right to injure another to protect their property *	2.66	1.02	3.00	1.93	<.01

Item	American		Chinese		P value
	M	SD	M	SD	P
TNT 51	2.78	0.89	3.05	0.83	<.01
TNT 54	2.45	0.93	2.88	0.94	<.01

Appendix 7

Teenager Nonviolent Test

Three items from Nonviolence Self-test (NST) (Louise Diamond ,2001)

NST 8 I would take part in nonviolent action campaigns for a cause I believed in.

NST 9 I would be willing to be arrested in nonviolent action campaign for a cause I believed in.

NST 10 I would be willing to undergo a physical harm (tear gas, water hose, beating, pepper spray) in a nonviolent action campaign for a cause I believe in.